Regression and prediction: OLS Section 4

Sima Biondi Spring 2025

Gov 51: Data Analysis and Politics

Overview





• Problem Set I: almost done! Due tonight @ 11:59pm

- Problem Set I: almost done! Due tonight @ 11:59pm
- CA office hours: great for coding questions

- Problem Set I: almost done! Due tonight @ 11:59pm
- CA office hours: great for coding questions
 - \hookrightarrow Pranav: walk-in hours

- Problem Set I: almost done! Due tonight @ 11:59pm
- CA office hours: great for coding questions
 - \hookrightarrow Pranav: walk-in hours
 - \hookrightarrow Ben: https:

//calendly.com/bheilbronn-college/ben-gov51-oh

ightarrow Assumptions: probabilistic treatment, ignorability, SUTVA

- $\rightarrow\,$ Assumptions: probabilistic treatment, ignorability, SUTVA
- \rightarrow When does matching work? If $X_i \approx X_i^M$ then we expect $Y_i \approx Y_i^M$

- ightarrow Assumptions: probabilistic treatment, ignorability, SUTVA
- \rightarrow When does matching work? If $X_i \approx X_i^M$ then we expect $Y_i \approx Y_i^M$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Different ways to match: (a) various distance algorithms, (b) 1-to-1 or 1-to-many

- ightarrow Assumptions: probabilistic treatment, ignorability, SUTVA
- \rightarrow When does matching work? If $X_i \approx X_i^M$ then we expect $Y_i \approx Y_i^M$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Different ways to match: (a) various distance algorithms, (b) 1-to-1 or 1-to-many

- $\rightarrow\,$ Assumptions: probabilistic treatment, ignorability, SUTVA
- \rightarrow When does matching work? If $X_i \approx X_i^M$ then we expect $Y_i \approx Y_i^M$
- → Different ways to match: (a) various distance algorithms, (b) 1-to-1 or 1-to-many

In this section, we look at the nuts and bolts of actual estimation: our old friend **linear regression**

Overview





Linear regression estimates:

1. size, and

of **the relationship** between an independent variable and a dependent variable.

Linear regression estimates:

- 1. size, and
- 2. direction

of **the relationship** between an independent variable and a dependent variable.

• Independent variable(s):



- Independent variable(s):
 - \rightarrow *a.k.a.* explanatory variable(s)



- Independent variable(s):
 - \rightarrow *a.k.a.* explanatory variable(s)
 - \rightarrow a.k.a. covariate(s)



- Independent variable(s):
 - \rightarrow *a.k.a.* explanatory variable(s)
 - \rightarrow a.k.a. covariate(s)
 - \Rightarrow Notation: X_i



- Independent variable(s):
 - \rightarrow *a.k.a.* explanatory variable(s)
 - \rightarrow a.k.a. covariate(s)
 - \Rightarrow Notation: X_i
- Dependent variable:



- Independent variable(s):
 - \rightarrow *a.k.a.* explanatory variable(s)
 - \rightarrow a.k.a. covariate(s)
 - \Rightarrow Notation: X_i
- Dependent variable:
 - \rightarrow *a.k.a.* outcome



- Independent variable(s):
 - \rightarrow *a.k.a.* explanatory variable(s)
 - \rightarrow a.k.a. covariate(s)
 - \Rightarrow Notation: X_i
- Dependent variable:
 - \rightarrow *a.k.a.* outcome
 - \Rightarrow Notation: Y_i



• Gov 50 notation: $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + \epsilon_i$

- Gov 50 notation: $\mathbf{Y}_i = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i$
- Gov 51 notation:

- Gov 50 notation: $\mathbf{Y}_i = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i$
- Gov 51 notation:
 - $\cdot \mathbf{Y}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \mathbf{X}_{i} + \epsilon_{i} \rightarrow$

- Gov 50 notation: $\mathbf{Y}_i = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i$
- Gov 51 notation:
 - $\mathbf{Y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i \rightarrow$

- Gov 50 notation: $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + \epsilon_i$
- Gov 51 notation:
 - $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i \rightarrow$ "assumed model"

$$\cdot \ \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_i = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}_1 \ \mathbf{X}_i \rightarrow$$

- Gov 50 notation: $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + \epsilon_i$
- Gov 51 notation:
 - $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i \rightarrow$ "assumed model"

$$\cdot \ \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_i = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}_1 \ \mathbf{X}_i \rightarrow$$

- Gov 50 notation: $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + \epsilon_i$
- Gov 51 notation:
 - $\mathbf{Y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i \rightarrow$ "assumed model"
 - $\cdot \ \widehat{\mathbf{Y}_i} = \widehat{\beta_0} + \widehat{\beta_1} \mathbf{X}_i \rightarrow \text{``fitted model''}$

- Gov 50 notation: $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + \epsilon_i$
- Gov 51 notation:
 - $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i \rightarrow$ "assumed model"
 - $\cdot \ \widehat{\mathbf{Y}_i} = \widehat{\beta_0} + \widehat{\beta_1} \mathbf{X}_i \rightarrow \text{``fitted model''}$

What part of the notation describes the relationship between our variables?

- Gov 50 notation: $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + \epsilon_i$
- Gov 51 notation:

•
$$\mathbf{Y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i \rightarrow$$
 "assumed model"

$$\cdot \ \widehat{Y}_i = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}_1 X_i \rightarrow \text{``fitted model''}$$

What part of the notation describes the relationship between our variables?

 $\beta_0 \text{ and } \beta_1 \Rightarrow Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i$





If β_0 and β_1 help us describe the relationship between Y_i and X_i , how do we choose β_0 and β_1 ?

If β_0 and β_1 help us describe the relationship between Y_i and X_i , how do we choose β_0 and β_1 ?

Loss functions! Picking the right loss function matters because it's the metric by which we decide what line fits our data best.

Loss functions options:

• Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

Loss functions options:

- Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)
- Absolute deviation

Loss functions options:

- Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)
- Absolute deviation
- Penalized Least Squares

* Best

- * Best
- \star Linear

- * Best
- \star Linear
- \star Unbiased

- * Best
- \star Linear
- \star Unbiased
- * Estimator

- * Best
- \star Linear
- \star Unbiased
- * Estimator

- * Best
- * Linear
- \star Unbiased
- ★ Estimator

Assumptions: (a) linearity, (b) independence, (c) homoscedasticity, (d) no (perfect) multicollinearity, (e) zero conditional mean of errors

• Using statistical packages, we can estimate linear regressions on any two variables

- Using statistical packages, we can estimate linear regressions on any two variables
 - BUT! not all regression are useful regressions

- Using statistical packages, we can estimate linear regressions on any two variables
 - BUT! not all regression are useful regressions
- Significant coefficients are NOT causal estimates without identification

• Why would we want to add more variables?

- Why would we want to add more variables?
 - 1. Better prediction

- Why would we want to add more variables?
 - 1. Better prediction
 - 2. Easier interpretation: we intuitively understand what an effect size is if we hold other variables constant

- Why would we want to add more variables?
 - 1. Better prediction
 - 2. Easier interpretation: we intuitively understand what an effect size is if we hold other variables constant
- **Example**: What effect does incumbency have on reelection, conditional on scandals?

- Why would we want to add more variables?
 - 1. Better prediction
 - 2. Easier interpretation: we intuitively understand what an effect size is if we hold other variables constant
- **Example**: What effect does incumbency have on reelection, conditional on scandals?
 - We might want to know the incumbency advantage holding scandals constant

Multi-variate regression implementation

Implementation is similar to bivariate regression:

• Bivariate:

$$\beta_1 = \arg\min_{\beta_1} \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 =$$

$$\arg\min_{\beta_1}\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i))^2$$

Implementation is similar to bivariate regression:

• Bivariate:

$$\beta_1 = \arg\min_{\beta_1} \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 =$$

$$\arg\min_{\beta_1}\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i))^2$$

• Multivariate:

$$\beta_1 = \arg\min_{\beta_1} \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 =$$

$$\arg\min_{\beta_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2))^2$$

• Package to produce tables in R: modelsummary

Conceptually:

• Package to produce tables in R: modelsummary

Conceptually:

- Hypothesis testing and β 's as random variables

• Package to produce tables in R: modelsummary

Conceptually:

- Hypothesis testing and β 's as random variables
 - Statistical significance

• Package to produce tables in R: modelsummary

Conceptually:

- Hypothesis testing and β 's as random variables
 - Statistical significance
 - Interpreting p-values

• Over-fitting: a model that begins to describe the error in the data rather than relationships between variables

- Over-fitting: a model that begins to describe the error in the data rather than relationships between variables
 - In other words, our model may have high internal validity, but it has extremely low external validity

- Over-fitting: a model that begins to describe the error in the data rather than relationships between variables
 - In other words, our model may have high internal validity, but it has extremely low external validity
 - We capture the relationships that are specific to our dataset and only your dataset,

- Over-fitting: a model that begins to describe the error in the data rather than relationships between variables
 - In other words, our model may have high internal validity, but it has extremely low external validity
 - We capture the relationships that are specific to our dataset and only your dataset,

- Over-fitting: a model that begins to describe the error in the data rather than relationships between variables
 - In other words, our model may have high internal validity, but it has extremely low external validity
 - We capture the relationships that are specific to our dataset and only your dataset, which (usually) isn't the goal!
- Can be particularly problematic if our variables are collinear

- Over-fitting: a model that begins to describe the error in the data rather than relationships between variables
 - In other words, our model may have high internal validity, but it has extremely low external validity
 - We capture the relationships that are specific to our dataset and only your dataset, which (usually) isn't the goal!
- Can be particularly problematic if our variables are collinear
 - Collinearity refers to high correlation between covariates

- Over-fitting: a model that begins to describe the error in the data rather than relationships between variables
 - In other words, our model may have high internal validity, but it has extremely low external validity
 - We capture the relationships that are specific to our dataset and only your dataset, which (usually) isn't the goal!
- Can be particularly problematic if our variables are collinear
 - Collinearity refers to high correlation between covariates
 - Makes it difficult to interpret our results!

• Upcoming: problem set due tonight!

- Upcoming: problem set due tonight!
- Questions about uncertainty and inference? Come to office hours!